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Summary:
The molecular analysis of the Filarioidea and the endobacteria Wolbachia is no more limited to the agents of human diseases and the diversified sampling permits a synthesis with the morphological and biological results. The validity of the genera with "uncoherent host range", such as Mansonella, Litomosoides and Cercopithifilaria, is confirmed and, consequently, their evolution by host-switchings. Dirofilaria and Onchocerca, types of two subfamilies, appear more closely related than with other onchocercids. Waltonellinae from anurans and Oswaldofilariinae from reptiles have a basal position. These filariae, and some others also considered primitive, do not harbour Wolbachia. Evidence for transversal transmission of the bacteria and a second acquisition event is given with the supergroup F, identified in Mansonella, in one of the Cercopithifilaria species and in arthropods.
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Filarioids are parasitic nematodes extensively studied because several species are the agents of human diseases. The need to elucidate the epidemiological conditions of transmission and the requirement for relevant experimental models have stimulated a widespread zoological investigation. Our present concern is the systematics of the superfamily Filarioidea with emphasis on its generic composition, the relationships between genera, the validity of the supra-generic divisions, the roots and epoch of emergence of Filarioidea, related to the question of mono- or polyphyly. Two main investigative tools are currently available, the traditional morphological and biological, and the more recent molecular analyses. They are commonly used by independent specialized teams, with the risk of uncontrolled divergent interpretations for the same biological object. On the other hand, the combining of their respective results may foster more appropriate interpretations.

EXAMPLES OF CONGRUENCE BETWEEN MOLECULAR AND TRADITIONAL ANALYSES

Among the filariae, there are several genera of which the host range is "zoologically incoherent" (Chabaud, 1981, see Chabaud & Bain, 1994). They are used to argue a model of evolution through host-switchings (captures), in opposition to a parallel evolution of the hosts and their parasites. However it may be objected that the genus is not well-delineated and, in fact, is a non-natural grouping of species. The molecular analyses should decipher such artefacts.

The congruence of the two methods of analyses appears quite good for the three genera studied Cercopithifilaria Eberhard, 1980, Litomosoides Chandler, 1931 and Mansonella Faust 1929, parasitic in mammals. Cercopithifilaria is known with 28 species described from monkeys, ruminants, carnivores, lagomorphs, por...
cupine and murid rodents, marsupials and monotremes. The small subunit of mitochondrial ribosome (12S rRNA) was analysed for nine species, from African porcupine hystrix, Japanese bear, Japanese bovids and cervids. The taxon, which had been created for a parasite of African cercopithecoid monkeys, is actually a group of species having a large host-range and a worldwide distribution. As a matter of fact, the 12S rDNA analysis confirms the value of the traditional characters used to define *Cercopithifilaria* (Fig. 1), the adult morphology and the infective larvae with no or minute buccal cavity. In addition adults have dermal or sub-cutaneous localizations, and skin-dwelling microfilariae. The vectors are the hard ticks *Rhipicephalidae* and it is hypothesized that they had the major role in the spread and diversification of *Cercopithifilaria*. In Australia, *C. johnstoni* Spratt & Varughese, 1975, type host-Muridae, is found in marsupials and monotremes as well (communication by D. Spratt) and this might represent the first step of future speciations. Interestingly, *Wolbachia* is absent from species of the genus, except *C. japonica* (Uni, 1983) from bears, which harbours the form F of the endosymbiont (work in progress), a *Wolbachia* type shared with filariae of the genus *Mansonella* and with some arthropod hosts (Casi-raghi et al., 2005).

32 species have been described in *Litomosoides*, from microchiropterans, marsupials, murids and a few other rodents. The 12S rDNA was analysed for five species, three from bats and two from murids. The monophyly is also proved in this case. A particular morphological character of the cluster is the large segmented buccal capsule, about 20 μm long in the adult worm and third stage larva (Fig. 1). The vectors are macroynssid acarins. *Litomosoides* is confined to the New World and has intensely diversified in the Neotropical region, probably by means of the mites. In the Old World, another genus has a large segmented buccal capsule and is parasitic in microchiropterans, and a common origin is supposed for the two genera. Indeed, a species parasitic in North American rodents Geomyioidea, *Litomosa westi* (Gardner & Schmidt, 1986), which was initially placed in *Litomosoides*, would represent a link. This hypothesis is supported by the 12S rDNA analysis (Casiraghi et al., 2004; work in progress). *Wolbachia* is present in *Litomosoides*, with one exception (*L. yuta-jensis* Guerrero, Martin & Bain, 2003) and belongs to the supergroup D; *Wolbachia* of *Litomosa westi* appears to belong to the same supergroup (work in progress). *Mansonella* Faust, 1929 contains 29 species, among which three are human parasites: one in South America, *M. (M.) ozzardi* (Manson, 1897), one in Africa, *M. (Esslingeria) streptocerca* (Macfie & Corson, 1922), and one with an African origin subsequently introduced to South America since a few centuries, *M. (E.) pers- tans* (Manson, 1891). Two important diversifications are observed in anthropoid and platyrrhian monkeys. Other hosts are insectivores, including tupaiids, carnivores and sciurid and caviomorph rodents (Eberhard & Orihle, 1984). Recently, ruminant ungulates have joined this large host-range with species initially assigned to *Cutifilaria* Bain & Schulz-Key, 1974, now a subgenus of *Mansonella*. The 5S rDNA and/or 12S rDNA analyses (Xie et al., 1994; work in progress) have been performed with one species of *Cutifilaria* and three species from primates belonging to the subgenera *Mansonella*, *Esslingeria* Chabaud & Bain, 1976 and *Tetrapetalonema* Faust, 1935, respectively. They cluster together and this correlates with distinctive morphological characters in adult worms: the oesophage is thread-like, its blurred anterior end fused with the muscular body layer, and no buccal capsule is identifiable (Fig. 1). *Wolbachia* was not detected in *M. (E.) perstans* (Grobusch et al., 2003) but was detected in the other three species; it belongs to the supergroup F of the endosymbiont (work in progress).

**IS THE DIPETALONEMA LINEAGE A REALITY?**

During the past 30 years, *Dipetalonema* Diesing, 1861 has been split into several subgenera, many elevated to the generic rank, and the whole encompassed in the “Dipetalonema line”. A common history from a Gondwanian ancestor during the late Second era was proposed for all of them, followed by divergences in the different regions separated by the continental drift (Chabaud & Bain, 1976, in Chabaud & Bain, 1994). In the “Dipetalonema line” males display a basic arrangement of the caudal sensory system (four precloacal pairs, pairs 5 and 6 post-cloacal, and a posterior group of pairs 7 to 10); the *area rugosa*, a cuticular anti-slit apparatus used during mating, is generally present. However the lineage is composed of plesiomorph and derived species (such as *Acanthocheilonema* and *Cercopithifilaria*, respectively), and a clear definition was difficult. The present question is: do the molecular data support the *Dipetalonema* lineage? Among its 17 genera and sub-genera, half of them have been submitted to molecular analyses: *Acanthocheilo- nema* Cobbold 1870, *Dipetalonema, Cercopithifilaria*, and *Mansonella* with four of its sub-genera, *Mansa- nella*, *Esslingeria* Chabaud & Bain, 1976, *Tetrapetalonema* Faust, 1935, and *Cutifilaria*. *Acanthocheilonema* and *Dipetalonema sensu stricto* are generally viewed as a cluster. The first genus contains parasites of carnivores, macroscelid insectivores and rodents, the second is currently restricted to parasites of South American platyrrhian monkeys. The studied species are *A. reconditum* (Grassi, 1889) from dogs,
Fig. 1. Filarioidea and the outgroup Thelazia: schematic illustration of molecular analysis and traditional data for some important genera. Distinctive characters selected are: 1. Arthropod vector, Hematophagus/not. 2. Biology of microfilariae, circulating/not. 3. L3 oesophagus, glandular part present/not. 4. L3, mean tail ratio length/width at anus [extremes]. 5. L3, buccal capsule, conspicuous/absent/very long. 6. L3, tail extremity. 7. Molt III, day 2 p.i./day 8 p.i.; 8. Vagina: no bend & no chamber/bends/bends and conspicuous chamber. 9. Adult filaria, oesophagus, glandular part present (Yes to ++)/no. 10. Adult filaria, buccal capsule, 2 rings (or segments)/1 ring/absent/4 rings. 11. Wolbachia: absent (NOx1 means 1 species without Wolbachia) or a supergroup. The molecular tree presented is a “consensus” of the reconstruction generated in this and previous works. Larval characters mainly from Bain & Chabaud (1986).
A. viteae (Krepkogorskaya, 1933), used as a rodent model for filariasis, and D. gracile (Rudolphi, 1809). This cluster appears morphologically defined: the adult worms possess an oesophagus with a long thick glandular part, and the infective larvae have a buccal capsule 6-10 µm long (Fig. 1). Cercopitobilariidae is thus clearly different from the Acanthocheilonema-Dipetalonema cluster at the larval stage (no buccal capsule) and Mansoniella at the adult stage (thread-like oesophagus). The well-developed caudal lappets of the infective larva of the four genera, which was one of their common characters, appears to be a convergence.

Filaroids from elephants, Loxodontofilaria Berghe & Gillain, 1939, were not well known and provisionally placed in the Dipetalonema line (Bain, Baker, Chabaud, 1982, in Chabaud & Bain, 1994). With the recent discovery of a species of Loxodontofilaria in a caprine (Uni et al., 2006), a molecular analysis was performed which lead to a different interpretation. It indicates close relationships with Onchocerca Diesing, 1841 (work in progress), although the vagina of Loxodontofilaria has distinct bend and chamber, contrary to Onchocerca. Loxodontofilaria also harbours Wolbachia of the group C, very similar to the endosymbionts harboured by members of the genus Onchocerca. Interestingly, Wolbachia is absent in the two Acanthocheilonema species studied (Casiragli et al., 2004). According to the hypothesis proposed by Chabaud & Bain (1994), these would be close to the roots of Gondwanian Acanthocheilonema-like filariae from which stemmed Dipetalonema s.s. in the Neotropical region, when platyrhinian monkeys arrived after their transoceanic migration (late Eocene). D. gracile, the single species studied in this derived branch, harbours a Wolbachia related to the C supergroup, even though its real assignation is still under discussion (see below and Casiraghi et al., 2004; 2005).

NEW LIGHT ON THE ONCHOCERCINAE AND DIROFILARINAE

Within the past 15 years, several molecular analyses of Onchocerca Diesing, 1841 and Dirofilaria Railliet & Henry, 1910 were conducted, with ribosomal genes 5S (Xie et al., 1994), cox1 (Casiraghi et al., 2001), 12S rDNA (Casiraghi et al., 2004). All these molecular analyses placed the two genera close together. However each genus is presently the type of a subfamily (Anderson & Bain, 1976). Dirofilarinae are distinguished from Onchocercinae by the shorter male tail, well-developed lateral alae and pedunculated caudal papillae.

A first disturbance to the classical systematics was provoked by Loa Stiles, 1905, Dirofilarinae: 5S rDNA analysis placed Loa close to Mansonella, Onchocercinae (Xie et al., 1994). Reconsideration of the morphological characters of Loa revealed that its position close to Dirofilaria was no longer sustainable: the infective stage of Loa is distinguished from that of Dirofilaria by possession of a long tail with well-developed caudal lappets, like Acanthocheilonema, Cercopitobilariidae, and other onchocercids. Later, biological evidence strengthened the new interpretation. Larval development in Loa, Onchocerca, Dirofilaria, as well as in Brugia Buckle, 1958, Acanthocheilonema, Litomosoides and Mansonella Anteson, 1968 were compared and demonstrated two strategies of worm establishment in the definitive host (Bain et al., 1997): the third moult occurs as soon as day 2 post-inoculation in Onchocerca and Dirofilaria but not until the end of the first week in the other genera, Loa included.

Molecular analysis has been carried out with two species of Dirofilaria, D. immitis (Leidy, 1856) and D. repens Railliet, 1911 from dogs, and many species of Onchocerca (Krueger et al., 2007; Sreter et al., 2007). However, only two studies encompassed several other genera of filarioids (Casiiraghi et al., 2004; work in progress). The cluster Dirofilaria and Onchocerca is composed of two main branches, each corresponding to a genus. The cluster and its dichotomy concur with the morphological characters: the buccal capsule is reduced to an inconspicuous lamina in the adults, and is normally developed in the infective larvae (4 to 9 µm long); these have a cylindrical tail with rounded extremity and tiny caudal lappets in both genera. However the tail of Dirofilaria larvae is short (ratio length/width less than 1) in contrast to that of Onchocerca, and the females of the 33 Onchocerca species (Uni et al., 2007) possess a simple vagina, without bend and chamber (Fig. 1) in contrast to Dirofilaria.

Dirofilaria harbours the group C of Wolbachia. Onchocerca harbours the same supergroup, when present. O. flexuosa, the single species without Wolbachia, displays a particular morphology and was assumed to be an “ancient” representative of the genus; this is congruent with the molecular datasets (Krueger et al., 2007). The other Onchocercinae listed above harbour the supergroups D (most of the cases) or F (in the case of Mansonella spp. and Cercopitobilariidae japonica).

POSITION OF THE SPECIES PARASITIC IN ANURANS AND REPTILES IN THE EVOLUTION OF FILARIOIDEA

Cosiellinae Anderson, 1958 and Waltonellinae, Bain & Prod'Hon, 1974, with one and four genera respectively, are parasites of anurans. They are classically considered ancient, the first subfamily because the infective larva possesses cephalic spines, the second because
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of its Gondwanian host-range. The 12S rDNA analysis performed on two *Ochoterenella* species confirms the basal position of the Waltonellinae (work in progress). Onchocercids parasitic in reptiles are dispersed among several genera and subfamilies. Their heterogeneity has been confirmed with the two species analyzed, an Oswaldofilarini Chabaud & Choquet, 1953 (*Piratuba* Freitas & Lent, 1947), and a Dirofilariinae (*Foleyella* Seurat, 1917). The first has the most basal position and the second clusters with *Dirofilaria* and *Onchocerca*. The Oswaldofilarini have several morphological characteristics: the infective larvae have longitudinal cuticular body crests; the vulva opens at midbody or posteriorly; however the female genital primordium migrates anteriorly during morphogenesis in the larval stage, as in other onchocercids. No *Wolbachia* has been identified in any of these filarioids from anurans and reptiles (Casiraghi et al., 2004; work in progress).

It is noted that *Setaria* Viborg, 1795 parasitic in ungulates is often placed close to Oswaldofilarini and Waltonellinae near the base of the molecular phylegetic trees. *Setaria* does not harbour *Wolbachia*, alike the outgroup genus *Tbelazia* Bosc, 1819 (Casiraghi et al., 2004; work in progress).

**WOLBACHIA AND THE FILARIOIDEA**

Since the identification of the bacteria *Wolbachia* in the tissues of some human filariae, a broader range of filarioid species has been studied resulting in a number of new features (work in progress). The assessment of *Wolbachia* omnipresent in infected species and its exclusive vertical transmission needs to be revised as well as the evolution of *Wolbachia*-filaria relationships. In the human filariae *O. volvulus*, *B. malayi* and dog filaria *D. immitis*, the tissular distribution of *Wolbachia* includes the hypodermis of the lateral chords but this is not a general feature; in the animal filariae *Laxodontofilaria caprini*, *M. (Cu.) perforata* and *O. dewittei japonica*, *Wolbachia* is not detected in the hypodermis. Moreover, the prevalence of the bacteria in a given host species does not reach 100 % in all cases; absence/presence is observed in the three last species cited above and in *O. skrjabini*, although *Wolbachia* occurs in the lateral chords in this species and thus is expected to be positive regardless of which part of the worm is used for PCR. These data suggest different life styles of the wolbachiae and mutualistic relationships. Acquisition of the mutualist through lateral transfer was demonstrated with a species of *Cercopithifilaria*, *C. japonica*, which harbours the supergroup F shared with *Mansonella*, and some insects (Lo et al., 2002; work in progress), whereas all other species of *Cercopithifilaria* have no *Wolbachia*.

A fact that recently has come to light is that the Onchocercidae which are considered primitive on the basis of traditional morphological and biological data have no *Wolbachia*. The list includes the Oswaldofilarini and Waltonellinae, species of *Foleyella* (*Dirofilaria*) parasitic in cold-blooded vertebrates, and the Setariinae. The case of *O. flexuosa* might be interpreted also as a primitive state in Onchocercida, or might be explained by a secondary loss of the endosymbiont. This highlights the fact that identification of a loss of *Wolbachia* may be problematic. However such regressive evolution seems well-established with *Litomosoides*: the endosymbiont is present in five of six species studied and *L. yutajensis*, which lacks the endosymbiont, has no morphological particularity.

The genus *Wolbachia* was divided into supergroups encompassing symbionts of arthropods (A, B and E) or nematodes (C and D). This picture changed when a further supergroup was described (F), which encompasses *Wolbachia* from both arthropods (in particular termites) and filarial nematodes. Additional molecular diversity in *Wolbachia* has been found in Australian spiders (supergroup G), other termites (supergroup H), fleas and potentially in the filarial nematode *Dipetalonema gracile* (Casiraghi et al., 2004).

*Wolbachia* is patchily distributed, leading to a complicated picture (Fig. 2). At the beginning of the evolutionary radiation of *Wolbachia*, around 100 mya (Bandi et al., 1998; Fenn et al., 2006) transfer of this endosymbiont from arthropods to nematodes (*vice versa*) must have occurred. It is coherent with an ancestral absence of the endosymbiont in deep branches of Filarioidea, followed by at least one acquisition in the lineage leading to the derived species *Onchocerca* and *Dirofilaria*, the same or another acquisition leading to many other Onchocercinae. The strict relationship of *Wolbachia* from arthropods and nematodes in the F supergroup shows that a similar transfer might also have occurred more recently and independently from the ancestral host switch (work in press).

**UNRESOLVED QUESTIONS**

The present critical analysis stresses the general congruence between traditional and molecular approaches at the generic rank in the Filarioidea. This validates the morphological and biological characters selected for diagnosis (Fig. 1). The major unresolved questions are the delineation of the Filarioidea, their origin and evolution.

Larval characters are reliable for the suprageneric relationships since ontogeny reflects phylogeny in Spirurida. The character “glandular part of eosophagus present in the infective stage” encompasses the Filarioidea...
and negatively defines the outgroup (Fig. 1). Thelazia is well chosen because the oesophagus is not divided (e.g. no divisions of the three primordial glandular cells). *Parafilaria* Yorke & Maplestone, 1926 and *Stephanofilaria* Ilhe & Ilhe-Landenberg, 1933, which also have a short undivided oesophagus in adult and infective larva, are not Filarioidea but Thelaziidae (Bain, 2002). The persistence of such primitive oesophageal anatomy places the three genera close to some Seuratoidae, Setariinae, Oswaldofilariinae and Waltonellinae, these two last parasitic in cold blooded vertebrates. *Wolbachia* was acquired on the lineage leading to the Onchocercinae + Dirofilariinae, diverging into superfamilies C and D. *C. japonica* and *Mansonella* spp. (outlined in dashed ellipse) share a common supergroup F *Wolbachia*, due to a secondary acquisition. Losses along some lineages presented here (outlined in boxes) are more controversial and, except for *Litomosoides yutajensis* and *Loa loa*, could also be explained by a remote lineage birth, as for *Polevella* from saurians, *Acrobothoschelonomia* spp., *Cercopithifilaria* spp., *Onchocerca flexuosa*, and *Mansonella* (Eslingeria) persants. Grey areas are for species or genera studied since Casiraghi et al., 2004; half grey for species included in previously studied genus (example *Onchocerca*). Subgenera of *Mansonella* (Cu.). *Cutifilaria*; (M) *Mansonella*, (T) *Tetrapetalonema*.

**CONCLUSION**

The Filarioidea, although zoologically a small group of nematodes, have been intensively studied and are still worthy of further investigation. They cause several tropical diseases and the filariae of non-human animals are potential sources for zoonoses. Filarioiids have acquired a unique mode of transmission among nematodes, with haematophagous arthropods acting as intermediate hosts and in which a degree of larval morphogenesis occurs. At present *Wolbachia* is only known...
in this group of nematodes and these endosymbionts are shared with another large group of Ecdysozoa, the arthropods. The genome of the human filaria *Brugia malayi* is now known (Ghedin et al., 2007) and demonstrates that new genes appeared and others were lost during the long evolution from free-living ancestors, e.g. *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome. It seems thus unlikely that filarioids will return to a free living life style. Field research on filarial biodiversity undertaken by a worldwide network of collaborators, has given access to new specimens which are informative for the evolution of the filarioids and their wolbachiae endosymbionts. Several species (*L. yuajensis/L. sigmodonitis; Cercopithifilaria* spp.) have now been identified which may serve as models to investigate the “mutualistic” relationships at gene level.
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