INVENTORY OF WILD RODENTS AND LAGOMORPHS AS NATURAL HOSTS OF *Fasciola hepatica* ON A FARM LOCATED IN A HUMID AREA IN LOIRE ATLANTIQUE (FRANCE)


Summary:
With the objective of studying the role of wild fauna in the epidemiology of fasciolosis disease, a definitive wild-host inventory was carried out in a French farm where infected domestic hosts (cows) cohabit with wild potential ones. Liver flukes, faecal eggs and antibodies were looked for in lagomorphs (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) and rodents (*Myocastor coypus*, *Ondatra zibethicus*, *Rattus norvegicus*, *Anivcila sapidus* and micromammal species) trapped in the study area. Presence of *Fasciola hepatica* was detected in two species: *O. cuniculus* and *M. coypus*. Infection rates were respectively 34 % (42/124) and 55 % (106/193). Liver flukes were found in 78 M. coypus (n = 192) and 11 O. cuniculus (n = 35). No other species was infected by *F. hepatica*. The number of animals shedding faecal eggs was higher in *M. coypus* (49 out of 127 sampled; 38.6 %) than in *O. cuniculus* (two out of 17 sampled; 11.7 %). The results indicate that *M. coypus* may play a role in the maintenance and the dissemination of *F. hepatica* in various environments and open a discussion on the role of other natural wild hosts.


INTRODUCTION

*Fasciola hepatica* is an euryxene parasite found in many domestic species [bovines, ovines, caprines (Reddington et al., 1986)] and wild species like rodents (Delecole, 1982; Molan & Hussein, 1988; Mas-Coma et al., 1988 and 1989), lagomorphs (Bailenger et al., 1965; Terracciano et al., 1988), suidae (Bollo et al., 1993) and wild ruminants (Barras, 1982; Johannsen et al., 1989; Alcouffe et al., 1992), and even in Man. The economic consequences of domestic ruminant infestation (Mage et al., 1989; Mage, 1990) and the increase of the number of human contaminations worldwide (Mas-Coma et al., 1999) warrant an effective control of fasciolosis. The use of fasciolicide molecules is recommended to stop the laying of adult parasites present in cattle. The existence of infested wild animals can be a limit to this strategy by allowing the pursuit of the parasitic life cycle and the reinestation of sympatric potential hosts like domestic animals or man. Dreyfuss et al., in 1994, demonstrated human contaminations in watercress beds located in plots outside of cattle presence but where the presence of wild rodents and lagomorphs was reported. To establish the real impact of wild fauna in fasciolosis epidemiology, it is important to know which species are susceptible to the parasite and which ones have a more durable relationship with it.
The aim of this study is to list the wild small mammals species infested by *F. hepatica* on a small scale in France, where wild and domestic animals live in sympatry, and to discuss the nature of the relationships established between these species and *F. hepatica* to consider their role in the parasite's maintenance and dissemination.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA AND COW-BREEDING**

The study was carried out on the Masereau reserve (Loire Atlantique, France) managed by the National Hunting Office (Office National de la Chasse; ONC), from 1995 to 1998. The total surface of the reserve is 393 hectares (982.5 acres). A study area of 45 hectares (112.5 acres) was defined (area 1, Fig. 1). A second area of 19 hectares (47.5 acres), ecologically similar to the first, had to be defined to increase the size of our samples (area 2, Fig. 1). Each of these two areas consisted of three ecologically different environments: damp meadows (environment 1) surrounded below by slimy calcareous borders (environment 2) one part of which is flooded during the floods of the river (la Loire) (environment 3). These three environments are potential *Lymnaea truncatula* habitats.

The results will be dealt with depending on the three environments and not on the areas.

Eighteen cows (Nantaise breed) grazed in area 1. Cattle were treated yearly with triclabendazole (Fascinex ND). The serological infection rate of cattle was measured by ELISA method to 90 % (n = 12) (Boulard *et al.*, 1995).

Foot print observation proved the presence of wild animals sympatric with cows.

**SAMPLING OF THE WILD SPECIES**

A total of 250 traps of INRA and Sherman traps and rat-trap-Manufrance-for-capture-of-small-rodents types were set every three months, according to the method described by Spitz (1965), in the three area 1 environments from November 1996 to November 1997, and in the three area 2 environments, in November 1996 and July 1997 (Fig. 1). Trappings using rat-trap-Manufrance-for-capture-of-small-rodents were pursued in the third environment of the area 1 until November 1999, at the same periodicity. Thirty traps for the capture of medium size and big rodents were set every three months from November 1996 to July 1998, and divided out among the three area 1 environments (Fig. 1).

All the animals captured were euthanasied.

A line of 55 rabbit traps were set in part of area 1 with the highest concentration of rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), from November 1996 to March 1998. A study carried out by ONC necessitated the release of the *Oryctolagus cuniculus* trapped. To demonstrate the fluke carrying by *O. cuniculus*, *O. cuniculus* shooting were also carried out by ONC, in the same place. The carcasses were collected monthly.

**INFECTION DIAGNOSIS IN THE SAMPLED SPECIES**

Table I summarizes the four methods of infestation diagnosis used for each species.

The animal autopsies included a meticulous exploration of the choledoc canal and biliary ducts followed by a fine cut of the liver.

Bile was extracted after puncturing the gall bladder. The bile was observed to look for fluke eggs.

The coproscopic diagnosis was carried out using an iodomercurate potassium flotation method (Knapp & Presidente, 1971).

Serological infestation was established using an ELISA method (Boulard, 1995), adapted for each species.

**STATISTICS**

The mean values were subjected to Anova or to the comparison test of experimental frequencies.
**RESULTS**

**EVALUATION OF THE CAPTURES**

Table I gives the number of trapped animals per species. Nine species were sampled in the study areas: four aquatic rodent species, four micro-mammal rodent species and one lagomorph species. Four species were captured more often: 361 Apodemus sylvaticus, 193 Myocastor coypus, 124 Oryctolagus cuniculus and 89 Microtus arvalis.

Table II gives the repartition of the captures based on the environment. The number of trapped Mus musculus was not significantly different in the three environments (39 % of Mus musculus trapped were captured in environment 1, 36 % in environment 2, 25 % in environment 3). The results were the same for Oryctolagus cuniculus (36.4 % of Oryctolagus cuniculus trapped were captured in environment 1, 13.6 % in environment 2 and 50 % in environment 3). Only two Rattus norvegicus were trapped in the driest biotope (environment 1) (6.2 % out of the 32 Rattus norvegicus trapped among the three environments) against 40.6 % in environment 2 and 53.2 % in environment 3. On the contrary, micromammals were trapped more frequently in dry biotopes: 56.4 % in the driest biotope (environment 1) (6.2 % out of the 32 Rattus norvegicus trapped among the three environments) against 40.6 % in environment 2 and 53.2 % in environment 3. The trapping and the shooting of Oryctolagus cuniculus were carried out in only one environment.

**DIAGNOSIS OF FLUKE INFECTION**

The results of the infection diagnosis per species are summarized in Table I. We observe two species for which all the screening methods used show fluke infection: Mus musculus and Oryctolagus cuniculus. In these two species, fluke stages were detected (liver flukes in 78 Mus musculus (n = 192) and 11 Oryctolagus cuniculus (n = 35); faecal eggs in 49 Mus musculus (n = 127) and two Oryctolagus cuniculus (n = 17)) and antibodies were observed in blood samples (133 Mus musculus (n = 167) and 33 Oryctolagus cuniculus (n = 68)).
The infection rates measured (all screening methods combined) in these species are 55% in *M. coypus* and 34% in *O. cuniculus*. The difference is not significant. On the contrary, the frequency of faecal egg shedding was significantly higher ($p < 0.001$) in *M. coypus* than in *O. cuniculus* (49/127 and 2/2 respectively instead of 2/17 for *O. cuniculus*).

In *O. zybetaicus*, the diagnosis were not the same depending on the screening method used: two animals shed faecal eggs but no autopsy revealed fluke livers and no antibodies were detected in blood samples. None of the 65 *R. norvegicus* trapped were infested. The ELISA method used in 35 blood samples did not detect any fluke antibodies.

No animal out of the four micromammal species sampled were shown to have fluke livers.

Table II gives infection rates depending on the species and the environment where they were trapped. Infected *M. coypus* were detected in the three environments. The prevalence measured in environment 3 was significantly higher ($p < 0.001$) than the one measured in environment one (56% out of the 76 *M. coypus* trapped in swamps were infected compared to 15% in humid meadows).

**DISCUSSION**

The present sampling of the herbivorous mammals agrees with those carried out by ONC (unpublished data). Only *Micromys minutus*, whose presence has been reported by ONC, was not trapped in our study. The trapping protocols (exhaustive trapping in area 1 and sampling trapping in area 2) gives a good representation of the potential *F. hepatica* hosts present.

The results confirm the existence of various wild species infected by *F. hepatica*: lagomorphs (*O. cuniculus*) and rodents (*M. coypus*).

*O. cuniculus* infection has been reported for a long time (Olsen, 1948) and has been observed in several different countries: in France (Bailenger et al., 1965; Hubard, 1985; Biadi & Le Gall, 1993), in England, USA and Australia (Bailenger et al., 1965), as well as in Romania (Nesterov et al., 1973), in Czechoslovakia, in Italy and in Chile (Rubilar et al., 1987). The average infection rate measured in our study was in accordance with those reported by the various authors.

In spite of a large potential host spectrum, *F. hepatica* only forms one rodent species (*M. coypus*).

The positivity of the coproscopic diagnosis in two faecal samples collected in *O. zybetaicus* does not prove the infestation of the population. Coproscopic diagnosis is not a specific screening method and it can be difficult to recognize fluke eggs of another endoparasite eggs (like *Alaria* spp...). Also, an exogenous origin of the faecal eggs cannot be excluded: *O. zybetaicus* is a caecotroph and the quantity of faecal eggs found was low (0.6 and 2.8 eggs per gramme (epg)). The absence of liver flukes and antibodies seems to show that the population sampled is not infested by *F. hepatica*. These results confirm those of Boussinesq who explored, in 1986, the *F. hepatica* susceptibility of a *O. zybetaicus* population in an area where *M. coypus* infestation was shown. None of the 70 animals autopsied were infested by the parasite. The specific alimentary behavior, which differs strongly from that of *M. coypus* (Perry, 1982), may provide an explanation for the resistance of *O. zybetaicus* to *F. hepatica*.

None *R. norvegicus* nor micromammal species were infected. The absence of *R. norvegicus* and micromammals infected in an infected *F. hepatica* area seems to confirm that parasite carrying is not frequent in these species. *R. norvegicus* infection has only been observed in Iraq by Molan & Hussein (1988) and the prevalence measured was low (7%). Only one micromammal infection was detected, in *Mus musculus*, a species not trapped in our study, by Mas-Coma et al. (1988), in Corsica. Mas-Coma showed that, like the *Rattus rattus* fluke infection, this phenomenon is the consequence of insularity concerning niche widening in both host and parasite species (Mas-Coma et al., 1989). It appears that the carrying of *F. hepatica* by *Rattus* spp. and micromammals may only occur under certain circumstances, in particular environments.

*M. coypus* infection is, on the contrary, more widespread. It has been reported in France (Delecole, 1982; Rosoux, 1984; Boussinesq, 1986), in USSR (Zakariev, 1977) and in Brazil, in its native habitat, by Santos et al. (1992). The results show that *M. coypus* infection occurs in various environments (swamps, humid meadows) and the infection rate can be exceedingly high (serological prevalence is 72% in swamp). In a previous study (unpublished data) carried out in 10 watery areas in Loire Atlantique, we observed that *M. coypus* infection rates varied between 0 and 50% (mean = 9%) in the different areas but it reached a mean rate of 42% in *F. hepatica* infected areas. *F. hepatica* carrying seems to be frequent in *M. coypus* and is commonplace in many populations, in several different environments. Relationships between wild fauna and *F. hepatica* are different depending on the species. Some wild small mammals species do not seem to be naturally susceptible to the parasite and may play no role in fasciolasis disease (*O. zybetaicus*).

Other small mammals species are only able to harbour fluke livers in particular environmental conditions (*Rattus* spp., micromammals) and serve, as the black rat *Rattus rattus* in Corsica, as a reservoir of fasciolasis in these specific biotopes (Valero et al., 1998) [Mas-
Coma (1988) measured an infection rate equal to 48.5% in Corsica *Rattus rattus*.

Finally, some wild species are frequently natural hosts of *F. hepatica* (*O. cuniculus, M. coypus*). The epidemiological role of these wild hosts vary depending on the nature of the definitive host and the environment. In fact, *O. cuniculus* is a favourable host concerning the duration and the intensity of *F. hepatica* infection but seems to be less favourable concerning the pursuit of life parasitic cycle: the number of infected shedding *O. cuniculus* is generally low (18% in our study, Table 1), fluke eggs eclosability and developmental success are poor (Boray, 1969; Rondelaud & Dreyfuss, 1995). These results would tend to reduce the impact of *O. cuniculus* infection in the fasciolosis epidemiology. On the contrary, notwithstanding eclosability and development success of faecal fluke eggs have not been studied yet, high number of infected and shedding *M. coypus* (fluke eggs shed by 80% of infected animals) combined with high population density, opportunistic behavior and widespread distribution (Jouventin et al., 1996), suggest that *M. coypus* probably plays a major epidemiological role in fasciolosis. This role is compounded by the fact that this rodent is amphibious and used to defaecate in water and shed fluke eggs close to habitats of snails which are potential intermediate hosts; a behavior very much to the benefit of the parasite and its life cycle.

These results demonstrate that rodents play a more complex epidemiological role in the fasciolosis epidemiology than that reported by Macko & Basanda (1977) who thought that rodents were just scattering agents of *F. hepatica*, and increase the interest that veterinary research have to bear on it. The variations of the infestation rate according to the environment also show that its role can be different according to the biotope incriminated.
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