The worsening problems of antimalarial drugs resistance in many settings has led to facilitate early diagnosis and prompt treatment. Microscopic examination of blood smears remains the method of choice for diagnosing malaria. Newer technologies that have been evaluated include the polymerase chain reaction PCR (Barker et al., 1992; Mc Laughlin et al., 1986; Parra et al., 1989), the QBC malaria assay (Levine et al., 1989), assays detecting the Plasmodium falciparum histidine-rich protein 2 (PfHRP-2), a water soluble protein released from parasitized erythrocytes (Howard et al., 1986; Parra et al., 1991). These related assays include the PATH falciparum malaria®, a dipstick antigen capture assay developed by PATH (Seattle, USA).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the performance and use of the PATH Falciparum Malaria® Test Trip for the diagnosis of Plasmodium falciparum malaria compared to other QBC, PCR and the new dipstick PATH Malaria® assay which detects the histidine rich protein II antigen of Plasmodium falciparum. Thick smear microscopy was used as the reference method.

Sensitivity, specificity, predictive positive and negative values were 100 %, 83.6 %, 93.4 % and 100 % for QBC respectively; 100 %, 72.7 %, 89.4 % and 100 % for PCR; 96 %, 92.7 %, 96.8 % and 91 % for the PATH assay. PATH assay failed to detect one positive sample with Plasmodium malariae.

Assays were also compared with regard to the expense of equipment and reagents and speed and ease of use. The rapid PATH assay can be performed with minimal training and may be specially useful in areas where P. falciparum is the predominant malaria species, in epidemic malaria regions, and where skilled microscopy is not readily available.
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**SUMMARY**: Blood samples from 182 patients presenting at the out-patient clinic in Richard-Toll, Senegal were analysed by Thick smear microscopy, the QBC, PCR and the new dipstick PATH Malaria® assay which detects the histidine rich protein II antigen of Plasmodium falciparum. Thick smear microscopy was used as the reference method.

Sensitivity, specificity, predictive positive and negative values were 100 %, 83.6 %, 93.4 % and 100 % for QBC respectively; 100 %, 72.7 %, 89.4 % and 100 % for PCR; 96 %, 92.7 %, 96.8 % and 91 % for the PATH assay. PATH assay failed to detect one positive sample with Plasmodium malariae.

Assays were also compared with regard to the expense of equipment and reagents and speed and ease of use. The rapid PATH assay can be performed with minimal training and may be specially useful in areas where P. falciparum is the predominant malaria species, in epidemic malaria regions, and where skilled microscopy is not readily available.
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**Résumé**: Étude comparative de la goutte épaisse, du QBC, de la PCR et du PATH Falciparum Malaria® Test Trip pour le diagnostic du paludisme

182 prélèvements de sang effectués chez des malades vus en consultation au centre de santé de Richard-Toll au Sénégal ont été analysés par la goutte épaisse, le QBC, la PCR et le PATH Falciparum Malaria® Test Trip, ce dernier détectant la protéine Histidine II de Plasmodium falciparum. La goutte épaisse est prise comme méthode de référence.

La sensibilité, la spécificité, les valeurs prédictives positive et négative étaient respectivement de 100 %, 83.6 %, 93.4 % et 100 % pour le QBC; 100 %, 72.7 %, 89.4 % et 100 % pour la PCR; 96 %, 92.7 %, 96.8 % et 91 % pour le PATH. Ce dernier n’a pas diagnostiqué l’infection due à Plasmodium malariae.

Les tests ont été comparés également selon les équipements et matériels utilisés, leur rapidité et la facilité de réalisation. Le PATH peut être réalisé après une rapide formation et peut être utile dans les zones où P. falciparum est l’espèce dominante, et où un examen microscopique de qualité n’est pas possible.

**MOTS CLÉS**: goutte épaisse, QBC, PATH falciparum malaria®, Histidine Rich Protein 2, Plasmodium falciparum, paludisme, Sénégal.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PATIENTS**

From November 1997 to January 1998, 182 patients with malaria symptoms presenting at the out patient clinic in Richard-Toll, in the north of Senegal, were enrolled in the study. The age distribution was 1-55 years.

Thick blood examinations were made using blood from a digital puncture. For PCR, PATH assay and QBC, additional blood (5 ml) was collected by venipuncture into 10 ml heparinized tubes.

**MICROSCOPY**

Thick smears were lysed in water and were stained with Giemsa. Numbers of malaria parasites per 1,000 white cells were counted and the average parasitemias were calculated assuming 8,000 white cells per microliter of blood. For the QBC malaria analysis, blood was collected in acridine orange-coated microhematocrit tubes (Becton Dickinson), centrifuged in a microhematocrit centrifuge, examined using a 60x oil immersion objective, and samples were scored as positive or negative.
POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION: PCR

DNA was isolated from 100 µl of blood by phenol extraction and isopropanol precipitation (Isoquick method), then dissolved in 100 µl of 0.1 TE solution, then diluted in water 1/10. Amplification was performed using 3 µl of diluted DNA in 30 µl reaction volumes, using Perkin Elmer PCR kit with 2.5 mM magnesium and a pair of specific primers from the small subunit ribosomal DNA gene. After an initial heating step for two minutes at 94°, 15 thermal cycles with one minute denaturation at 94°, one minute annealing at 58° and one minute extension at 72° followed by 22 additional thermal cycles with 54° hybridization. Amplification products were resolved using 2 % agarose gels and visualised and photographed after staining in ethidium bromide (Barker et al., 1992).

PATH FALCIPARUM MALARIA® ASSAY

The test developed by the Program for Appropriate Technology in Health (Seattle, USA) is an antigen-capture assay which detects P. falciparum specific histidine-rich protein II (PfHRP-II). The assay uses an IgG capture monoclonal antibody which is immobilized on the nitrocellulose membrane. Once the test strips identified with patients numbers, four drops of sample buffer were added into each reaction tube and the tubes were placed in reaction stand. For each sample, 5 µl of whole blood were added onto the test strip, just below the blue arrows. Then the test strips were dropped into the reaction tube. After 10 minutes 1 ml of clearing buffer was added. Then the test tube was capped, inverted several times. For negative samples only a line appears in the control region. For positive samples another line appeared at the strip’s capture region.

DATA ANALYSIS

Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were calculated using microscopy as the standard method.

RESULTS

In this study 182 patients with clinical symptoms of malaria were examined using thick smear and QBC microscopic analyses, the PCR and the new PATH Falciparum Malaria® assay. One hundred and twenty seven samples were detected as positive by microscopy and by PCR. Fifteen were negative by microscopy but positive by PCR which detects six more positive samples than QBC.

QBC MALARIA ASSAY

On the 182 samples analyzed by QBC, 127 were positive by both thick smear microscopy and QBC. Nine samples were positive by QBC and negative by microscopy, and these samples were also positive by PCR analysis. Relative to microscopy, sensitivity of QBC assay was 100 %, specificity was 83.6 %, the positive predictive value was 93.4 % and the negative predictive value was 100 % (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microscopy*</th>
<th>Microscopy*</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QBC*</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBC-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. – Comparison of QBC to thick smear microscopy.

PCR

One hundred and twenty seven (127) samples were both positive by microscopy and by PCR. Fifteen were negative by microscopy but positive by PCR which detects six more positive samples than QBC.

Using thick smear microscopy as the standard method, the sensitivity of PCR was 100 %, the specificity 72.7 %, positive predictive value 89.4 %, negative predictive value 100 % (Table II).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microscopy*</th>
<th>Microscopy*</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCR*</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. – Comparison of PCR to thick smear microscopy.

PATH ASSAY

Each assay run was performed and scored within ten minutes. Strong positives were identified near the top of the Test Strip. A positive control dash was seen at the control region of all strips. The assay was easy to perform following the manufacturer’s instructions, and requires no equipment. One hundred and twenty two samples were detected as positive by PATH assay. For the five missed samples, all positive by QBC and PCR, one had parasitemia at 500 p/µl blood, another one was P. malariae and the last three were samples which have been freezeed and thawed repeatedly. Four samples positive by PATH assay were negative with PCR, QBC and microscopy using thick smear microscopy as the standard method. The sensitivity of PATH assay was 96 %, specificity was 92.7 %, the positive predictive value was 96.8 % and the negative predictive value was 91 % (Table III).

The intensities of the positive PATH reactions were generally correlated with the densities of parasitemias determined by thick smear microscopy.
The correlation between parasite densities by thick smear microscopy and signal intensity scores of the PATH assay suggests that this technique provided a semiquantitative estimation of parasitemias. Even though the detection limit was not determined during this study, we might consider PATH assay can detect as low as ten parasites per microliter of blood, although histidine II antigen may be detectable following drug treatment even when parasites are no longer visible in the blood by microscopy. Transportation, speed, convenience, equipment, labor, and supply costs are often critical factors in malaria diagnosis. Thick smear microscopy when correctly done is inexpensive with regard to supply costs, and can detect as low as ten parasites per microliter of blood, but it requires a good microscope and a skilled technician. QBC and PCR can provide additional sensitivity, which may be useful when asymptomatic carrier detection is important, but equipment, labor and supply costs are relatively high.

The PATH assay does not require the initial equipment cost. The antigen detection is simple, rapid, suitable for use by relatively less-trained personnel and the reagents are relatively stable and portable. Its sensitivity is a little higher than ICT and Parasight. A previous study we carried out noted 89 % and 86 % respectively for ICT and Parasight (Gaye et al., 1998). When malaria diagnosis is not readily available as in peripheral areas, and where epidemic outbreak is possible as in the case with hypoendemic and seasonal malaria, PATH assay may be specially useful (Gaye et al., 1989, 1991, 1997). However it is an indirect tool and final diagnosis should be made with correlation with clinical and epidemiological criterias. So far, depending the ratio cost and efficacy, microscopy examination remains the best tool for malaria diagnosis.
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Table III. - Comparison of PATH assay to thick smear microscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Microscopy*</th>
<th>Microscopy-</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PATH*</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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