**BABESIA DIVERGENS**: AN ELISA WITH SOLUBLE PARASITE ANTIGEN FOR MONITORING THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF BOVINE BABESIOSIS
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**Summary**: An enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for bovine babesiosis caused by *Babesia divergens* was developed to analyse the evolution of the serological status of cattle living in an enzootic area. The antigen used was a soluble extract of *B. divergens* obtained from in vitro culture. Specificity, evaluated with negative sera, was 96.6%. The ELISA was compared to indirect immunofluorescence analysis (IFA) on naturally or experimentally infected animals. It appeared that IFA was positive for at least seven or eight weeks; on the contrary, *B. divergens*-specific antibodies were only transiently detected by ELISA. Furthermore, the ELISA was more sensitive than the IFA for the detection of post-infection antibody increases, particularly when infection-pressure was low. These results suggest that IFA and ELISA could be complementary tools in epidemiological surveys; indeed, this ELISA could be the most efficient tool to detect new infections in cohort monitoring.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Most cases of the bovine babesiosis in western Europe are caused by the intraerythrocytic development of the parasite *Babesia divergens* (Telford *et al.*, 1993). In order to improve knowledge about babesiosis, epidemiological and serological surveys have to be made on large numbers of animals and for long periods of time. Until now, the serological response of cattle to *B. divergens* infection was mostly monitored by indirect immunofluorescence analysis (IFA) (Adam and Blewett, 1978; Taylor *et al.*, 1982; Gern *et al.*, 1988; Losson and Lefèvre, 1989). Although IFA is a well adapted method for the determination of the incidence of babesiosis in a herd, it is a time-consuming and subjective method (Gray and Kaye, 1991). Furthermore, the disadvantages of IFA are amplified when the survey consists of a cohort study for one or two years. For such an epidemiological analysis, a semi-automated test is necessary, and the test has to be performed with an easy-to-produce antigen. Routine diagnosis of *Babesia bovis* babesiosis with an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using a defined parasite antigenic fraction has been described (Waltisbuhl *et al.*, 1987). For *B. divergens*, ELISA requires parasitized erythrocytes obtained from live animals (Purnell *et al.*, 1976; Gray and Kaye, 1991). The previous description of a long term *in vitro* culture for *B. divergens* has allowed us to produce purified parasites (Gorenflo *et al.*, 1991; Becuwe *et al.*, 1992). The purpose of the present study was to develop an ELISA using these purified parasites as a source of antigen. In order to test the performance of this serological tool in an epidemiological survey, the ELISA was compared to the IFA.
Fig. 1. - Antibody mean of the negative sera, and evaluation of positivity threshold.

Fig. 2. - Kinetics of antibodies (ELISA AbR: ■, and IFA reciprocal titer: □) of six experimentally infected animals.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

ANIMALS AND SERA

Negative sera were obtained from seven calves maintained in a tick-free cowshed since birth and from 112 cattle of “Belle Ile en Mer”, an area without *B. divergens* bovine babesiosis. The kinetics of antibodies were followed in six animals which were seronegative by IFA at the beginning of the study. They were infected by intravenous injection of 10^11* bovine red cells parazited with *B. divergens* “isolate Rouen, 1987” (Gorenflot *et al.*, 1991). The kinetics of antibodies were also followed in 12 animals from two herds known to be infected by *B. divergens*; of those 12 animals, blood was obtained monthly for 10 months by jugular venipuncture, and sera were aliquoted and stored at -20°C until use.

Cross reactions were tested with positive sera against *Fasciola hepatica* (enzyotic in the survey area) which has been suspected to interfere with *Babesia* infection (Fagbemi *et al.*, 1985). Cross reactions were also studied for other bovine tick-borne parasites, namely *B. bovis*, *B. bigemina*, *Anaplasma marginale*, *Theileria mutans* and *Cowdria ruminantium* (Dr. Camus, CIRAD-EMVT).

TICK NUMERATION

Data about the infestation level of the cattle by *Ixodes ricinus* (vector of *B. divergens*) were recorded each month during the same period by palpation of the palm of the hand and the fingers through the hair on one axilla of each animal (L’Hostis *et al.*, 1995). Data are expressed as mean tick number per animal.

IFAT AND ELISA

For both IFA and ELISA, the antigen was prepared from the same isolate: *B. divergens* “Rouen 1987” (Gorenflot *et al.*, 1991).

For IFA, the parasite was maintained by syringe passage in gerbils (Lewis and Williams, 1979), and parasitized blood (parasitaemia: 20%) was prepared and treated as previously described (Gray and Harte, 1985). The bovine sera were diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.2 (NaCl: 136.9 mM, KCl: 2.7 mM, KH_2PO_4: 1.47 mM, Na_2HPO_4: 8mM) from 1:40 to 1:5120. Fluorescein isothiocyanate-labelled rabbit anti-bovine immunoglobulins (IgG (H+L), Byosis, France) diluted in PBS (1:100) were used to detect specific antibodies.

For ELISA, the antigen was prepared from *B. divergens in vitro* culture in human erythrocytes maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% human serum (Gorenflot *et al.*, 1991). Free parasites were obtained as previously described (Becuwe *et al.*, 1993). Collected parasites were submitted to ten freeze-thawing cycles, centrifuged (4,000g, 15 min) and the supernatant, containing soluble proteins, was used as antigen. Microplates (96-wells, Microwell Nunc) were coated overnight at 37°C with this antigen diluted to a protein content of 1 µg/ml in 0.1M pH 9.6 sodium carbonate buffer (100 µl/well). After washing three times (5 min per wash) with PBS 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST), the plates were saturated with 150 µl of 5% Rabbit serum in PBST (PBST/R) at 37°C for 30 min. After dilution in PBST/R, bovine sera were distributed in duplicate (100µl/well) and the plates incubated at 37°C for 15 min. After washing three times in PBST, peroxidase-labelled rabbit anti-bovine immunoglobulins (IgG (H+L), Byosis, France) were added to each well and the plates were incubated for 45 min at 37°C. After preliminary studies, we used a serum dilution of 1:200 and a conjugate dilution of 1:3000. After washing twice in PBST and twice in PBS, 100 µl of peroxidase substrate (0.5 mg/ml ortho-phenylene-diamine (SIGMA, France) in sodium citrate buffer 0.1M, pH 5 with 0.83 µl/ml 30%H_2O_2) were added to each well. After incubation for 30 min at 37°C, the reaction was stopped by addition of 50 µl 2.5M H_2SO_4 per well and the plates were read at 492 nm with an ELISA automat (Titertek, Multiscan MC, Helsinki, Finland). Results are presented as specific antibodies mean rate (AbR) determined as follows:

\[
\text{AbR} = \frac{\text{(sample mean OD)} - \text{(negative controls mean OD)}}{\text{(positive controls mean OD)} - \text{(negative controls mean OD)}} \times 100
\]

Mean optical density (OD) was obtained from the values read in two wells. Positive control sera consisted of a pool of sera obtained from three cows experimentally infected with *B. divergens* “Rouen 1987” four to eight weeks before (IFA titer > 1:2500), negative control sera consisted of a pool of sera obtained from four three-year old oxen raised in a tick-free cowhouse.

RESULTS

SPECIFICITY OF THE ELISA TEST

AbR of the negative sera (119 animals) are summarized in Figure 1. To minimize lack of specificity, the threshold of the ELISA test was evaluated as AbR = 40%. In this case, we observed four false positive and specificity is 96.6% (standard error: 93.3% - 99.9%, p < 0.05). The AbR of positive sera against *B. bovis*, *B. bigemina*, *A. marginale*, *T. mutans*, *C. ruminantium*, *F. hepatica* were under the positive threshold (data not shown).
Fig. 3. – Mean tick number on cattle during the ten months. Ticks were counted on sampling day (once a month).

Fig. 4. – Comparison of ELISA (AbR : ■) and IFA (reciprocal titer : □) for the detection of B. divergens-specific antibodies. Animals were sampled once monthly, AbR was calculated as indicated in the materials and methods section. Each chosen curves represent a typical aspect of the results obtained : a-b : apparent correlation between ELISA and IFA : one seroconversion in spring (a) and two seroconversions: in spring and at the end of the autumn (b); c-d : ELISA was more sensitive than IFA : ELISA detected a seroconversion that was not evident by IFA (c), ELISA detect two seroconversions in spring and at the end of the autumn while IFA detected only one in spring when tick pressure was high (d).
The relatively high background could be explained by the presence of some non-specific antigens in the parasite extract as observed by Valentin et al. (1993) using a radiolabelled immunoprecipitation method. However, with a threshold of 40%, the specificity of the ELISA test was 96.6% and positive sera against other pathogens, particularly other Babesia species, showed no cross reactions. However, because of the transitory detection of B. divergens-specific antibodies in ELISA, kinetic studies of experimentally infected animals are needed to produce further conclusions about these cross-reactions.

Comparison of the kinetics of antibody level in ELISA and IFA showed that antibody was detected earlier in IFA than in ELISA, and that the recognition of antibodies is shorter-lived in ELISA than in IFA. Bidwell et al. (1978) observed a similar transitory increase in antibody level using an ELISA with a similar soluble antigen prepared from B. divergens infected erythrocytes and the IFA response was similar to that observed in the present study. Using an immunoprecipitation method with radiolabelled parasite extracts, Gorenflot et al. (1991) observed an early and persistent antibody response from WPI 1 to 22. The origin of the discordance between these three serological methods could be explained by the antigens used: the immunoprecipitation antigen was a Triton X100-soluble parasite extract, the ELISA antigen was water-soluble parasite material and antibodies detected by IFA were mostly directed against parasite membranes. Furthermore, Bose et al. (1994) demonstrated by using western blotting that some antigens of Babesia caballi were only recognized during early infection in horses. Further studies are needed to characterize the antigens of B. divergens detected in this ELISA test and to compare the different antigens commonly used. The short persistence of antibodies suggests that sensitivity of our ELISA in a diagnostic test will be lower than IFA since it could only detect the antibodies between the second or third to the sixth or seventh week after infection.

In comparison, in naturally infected animals, the ELISA test detected antibodies that were not revealed by IFA in four animals. In these four cases, IFA and ELISA were similar only in spring, when infection pressure (as revealed by tick number) was high; on the other hand, ELISA alone detected the seroconversion in winter when cattle were occasionally on the pastures and when tick pressure was lower. These facts support the hypothesis of a higher sensitivity of the ELISA in the detection of infection when infection pressure was low.

These data suggest that ELISA and IFA are complementary tools in epidemiological surveys. The IFA allows the determination of the immunological status of an infected or naïve herd. Our ELISA cannot discriminate between naïve and previous infected animals, however it can detect the time of infection and could probably detect several infections during a grazing season. Therefore, this ELISA is a new epidemiological tool which will be more efficient in a cohort and will allow the determination of the immunological status of the herd and the identification of infected animals.
monitoring during an epidemiological survey. In such a study, blood samples must be taken at least every three to four weeks which is the period when ELISA is positive after an infection, and an antibody increase of 25% will indicate a new infection.
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