**MONANEMA JOOPI N. SP. (NEMATODA, ONCHOCERCIDAE) FROM ACOMYS (ACOMYS) SPINOSISSIMUS PETERS, 1852 (MURIDAE) IN SOUTH AFRICA, WITH COMMENTS ON THE FILARIAL GENUS**

**JUNKER K.*, MEDGER K.**, LUTERMANN H.** & BAIN O.***

**INTRODUCTION**

During an on-going ecological study investigating the ectoparasite and helminth assemblages of murids in South Africa, tiny filarial worms were collected from blood taken from the heart of the Spiny mouse *Acomys (Acomys) spinosissimus* (Peters, 1852). They represented a new species of *Monanema* Anteson, 1968 (Onchocercidae), a genus parasitic in rodents of which the microfilariae are not in the blood, but in the skin (Ko, 1972; Bianco & Muller, 1977; Bianco et al., 1983; Bain et al., 1985).

In this paper, we give a morphological description of the new species and provide a synthetic analysis of the morphology and biology of all currently known species of *Monanema*. As a result, the definition of the genus was amended, and a hypothesis on its evolution proposed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Acomys (A.) spinosissimus* was recovered from Goro Game Reserve (22° 58' S, 29° 25' E) in the Limpopo Province in South Africa. Filarial worms were fixed in 70% ethanol. For morphological studies, worms were cleared in lactophenol and examined under a Wild compound light microscope equipped with a drawing tube. Caudal papillae are tentatively numbered following Chabaud & Petter (1961). Cross-sections were cut with a razor blade in order to study internal structures such as chords, muscles and oesophagus. Measurements were taken...
from drawings and are given in micrometres unless otherwise specified. The width of the buccal capsule was taken as its external diameter, measured at the base. Microfilariae were dissected from the uteri close to the vagina and cleared in lactophenol for further study. As earlier studies on Monanema indicated that the ear lobes are a predilection site for its skin-dwelling microfilariae (Ko, 1972; Muller & Nelson, 1975; El Bihari et al., 1977; Bianco et al., 1983; Bain et al., 1985; Wanji et al., 1990, 1994), ear snips were taken from the frozen carcasses of all infected animals and teased apart in lactophenol to check for microfilariae. Specimens have been deposited in the collection of the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle (MNHN), Paris, France (accession numbers 340 – 347 YU). Nomenclature of small mammals follows Wilson & Reeder (2005).

Additional organs of some of the hosts that were infected with filariae could be examined at a later stage, using frozen carcasses. This was possible only in cases where these organs had not been dedicated to other studies. The frozen liver, lungs and wall of the caecum-colon of five, two and four animals, respectively, were examined, since adults had been reported from these sites in previous studies (Webster, 1967; Muller & Nelson, 1975; El Bihari et al., 1977; Bain et al., 1986; Wanji et al., 1990). Microfilariae were exsheathed. Dermal microfilariae (Fig. 1N-R; Table III): uterine microfilariae folded once or twice, in loose-fitting sheath with obtuse ends; first fold usually in posterior half of body. No refractile granules in egg space between sheath and microfilaria. Body slender, posterior region tapering. Left cephalic hook, 2.5-3 long. No cephalic space; nuclei filling head anteriorly; nuclei terminating in single row at short distance from tip of tail. Terminal nuclei at times difficult to distinguish from fine granular material filling tip of tail. In skin, ten of 13 microfilariae were exsheathed. Dermal microfilariae (n = 4) were 190, 220, 225 and 228 long and 5, 5, 6 and 5 wide. The sheath (n = 2) was 132 and 147 long, respectively and 15 wide.

RESULTS

One to two filarial worms were present in blood drawn from the heart of ten of 139 A. (A.) spinosissimus. The liver, lungs and wall of the caecum-colon of five, two and four of these ten hosts, respectively, did not contain any filariae. Microfilariae were found in ear snips of two of the hosts harbouring adult worms.

**MONANEMA JOOPI** N. SP.

**JUNKER & BAIN** (Figs 1, 2; Tables I-III)

Large parts of the specimens’ body were covered with patches of red blood cells. Slender worms, off-white in colour. Body of both sexes tapering at ends but anterior extremity nearly cylindrical. Head not bulbous. Oesophagus not divided into muscular and glandular part, of nearly uniform diameter, posterior extremity slightly flattened at junction with intestine. Mouth opening tiny, round (Fig. 1B). Buccal capsule minute. Cuticle smooth.

Female (Fig. 1A-M; Tables I, III) posterior part narrower than anterior part. Cuticle slightly thickened laterally (Fig. 1C, D, E). Lateral chords thick; in lateral view, ventrally to the oesophagus, a peculiar cellular mass is observed (Fig. 1A), its origin is anterior to the nerve ring; in transverse section, this mass appears to be formed by the lateral chords which are directed ventrally (Fig. 1C), the left and right being joined in the median plane (Fig. 1D). One group of four head papillae observed, likely externolateral, arranged in dorsoventrally elongated rectangle; lateral amphids identified (Fig. 1B). Oesophagus with flattened lumen (not y-shaped; Fig. 1C, D), its posterior extremity at posterior level of vagina.

Vulva a longitudinal slit, at level of posterior half of oesophagus (Fig. 1A). Vagina: a short *vagina vera*, transverse, with flattened lumen; *vagina uterina* well-developed, directed posteriorly, with a chamber terminating in a sphincter, composed of epithelial cells (Fig. 1G). Ovijector: very thick, with a few loops, joining vagina near its mid-length. Opisthodelphic. Uteri running parallel. Tail: curved ventrally, especially tip; tip rounded and slightly bulbous, without appendages, often with irregular swellings, rarely lobulated (Fig. 11-L). Phasmids not seen.

Microfilariae (Fig. 1N-R; Table III): uterine microfilariae folded once or twice, in loose-fitting sheath with obtuse ends; first fold usually in posterior half of body. No refractile granules in egg space between sheath and microfilaria. Body slender, posterior region tapering. Left cephalic hook, 2.5-3 long. No cephalic space; nuclei filling head anteriorly; nuclei terminating in single row at short distance from tip of tail. Terminal nuclei at times difficult to distinguish from fine granular material filling tip of tail. In skin, ten of 13 microfilariae were exsheathed. Dermal microfilariae (n = 4) were 190, 220, 225 and 228 long and 5, 5, 6 and 5 wide. The sheath (n = 2) was 132 and 147 long, respectively and 15 wide.

Male (Fig. 2A-I; Table II): posterior curled into four tight coils. Tail elongate, slender and cylindrical in ventral view, tip bulbous, without appendages or projections. Narrow caudal alae present, slightly more pronounced on level of cloaca. Caudal papillae: four pairs of pre- and paracloacal papillae (right papillae atrophied in pairs 2 and 4); pair 5 near ventral line; pairs 6-10 asymmetric, roughly evenly spaced on tail (Fig. 2C, D). *Area rugosa* on coiled part of posterior region, composed of narrowly spaced transverse bands of longitudinal crests (Fig. 2E), terminating approximately on level of cloacal aperture (Fig. 2D), not extending to tail. Spicules unequal and dissimilar. Left spicule with well cuticularized handle, followed by membranous lamina, ending in short filamentous tip. Right spicule short and robust, well cuticularized, with broad pointed tip and recurved hook. Gubernaculum absent.

Type host: *Acomys (Acomys) spinosissimus* Peters, 1852 (Muridae).
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Fig. 1. – Monanema joopi n. sp., female.
A, anterior region, right lateral view; B, head, in front view; C-E, three cross sections posterior to nerve ring, anterior to vulva, at mid-body, respectively; F, anterior extremity, ventro-dorsal view; G, oesophageal-intestinal junction, vagina and anterior part of ovejector, left lateral view; H, tail, right lateral view; I & J, tails, left lateral view and ventral view, respectively; K & L, caudal extremities, right and left lateral views; M, ovejector and beginning of the two uteri, after dissection; N-R, microfilariae extracted from uteri; N, folded in sheath; O, exsheathed; P & Q, two anterior extremities with hook, dorso-ventral and left lateral view, respectively; R, last nuclei and granules at posterior extremity. Scales in μm: A, H, 100; B-F, I-L, 50; G, 150; M, 300; N-R 10.
Type locality: Goro Game Reserve (22° 58' S, 29° 25' E), Limpopo Province, South Africa. Collection date: 20.02.2008.
Site of infection: blood drawn from cardiac cavities.
Prevalence and intensity: prevalence was 7.2 %. Five hosts harboured a single worm, five hosts yielded two worms each.
Type material: 346 YU; holotype female. Deposited in the MNHN collection.

Additional material: Collected from January to August 2008. All specimens deposited in the MNHN collection. 340 YU; entire female. 342 YU; entire female. 343 YU; two entire females, both burst posteriorly. 344 YU; entire female, anterior fragment (used for apical view) and posterior fragment of female. 345YU; entire female. 347YU; two entire females. 341YU; entire male, broken into anterior and posterior part during preparation of drawing.

Fig. 2. – *Monanema joopi* n. sp., male.
A, anterior region, dorso-ventral view; B, posterior region, ventral view anterior to cloaca but alae in lateral view; C, tail, ventral view; D, papillae and *area rugosa* near cloacal aperture, ventral view; E, *area rugosa*, 150 μm anterior to cloacal aperture, ventral view; F, left spicule, left lateral view; G, right spicule, right lateral view; H, lateral chord at mid-body, lateral view; I, cuticle thickened laterally, ventro-dorsal view (half the width of worm drawn). Scales in μm: A-C, H, I, 100; D-G, 50.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNHN host/specimen number</th>
<th>340 YU/1</th>
<th>342 YU/1</th>
<th>343 YU/1</th>
<th>344 YU/2</th>
<th>344 YU/3</th>
<th>345 YU/1</th>
<th>346 YU/1</th>
<th>347 YU/1</th>
<th>347 YU/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body length (mm)</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum width</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal capsule length</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal capsule width</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophagus length</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex to nerve ring</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex to vulva</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of vagina</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of vagina</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail length</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>aborted</td>
<td>aborted</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>aborted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilariae</td>
<td>few</td>
<td>few</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gametes seen</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Holotype in bold characters. All measurements in micrometres, unless otherwise specified.

Table I. – Characteristics of female *Monanema joopi* n. sp. from *Acomys (Acomys) spinosissimus* Peters, 1852 in South Africa.

### Monanema species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authority and reference</th>
<th>joopi n. sp.</th>
<th>marmotae</th>
<th>globulosa</th>
<th>nilotica</th>
<th>martini</th>
<th>australis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type host species</td>
<td><em>Acomys (Acomys) spinosissimus</em></td>
<td><em>Marmota (Marmota) monax canadensis</em></td>
<td><em>Lemniscomys striatus</em></td>
<td><em>Arvicanthus niloticus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Melomys cervinipes</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic origin</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of infection</td>
<td>heart</td>
<td>connective tissue of gall bladder and bile ducts</td>
<td>pulmonary arteries</td>
<td>heart, pulmonary arteries</td>
<td>lymphatic vessels of caecum-colon wall</td>
<td>lung parenchyma and terminal alveoli; hepatic blood vessels and lymphatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of specimens examined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body length (mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31-38</td>
<td>10.4-13.0</td>
<td>29-35</td>
<td>13.1; 13.2</td>
<td>24.3; 20.1;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum width</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>33-39</td>
<td>112-144</td>
<td>30; 20</td>
<td>49; 40; 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalic extremity</td>
<td>not bulbous</td>
<td>bulbous</td>
<td>bulbous</td>
<td>not bulbous</td>
<td>bulbous</td>
<td>bulbous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophagus length</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>432-584</td>
<td>420-450</td>
<td>352-464</td>
<td>455; 480</td>
<td>600; -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex to nerve ring</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>approx. 60</td>
<td>120-150</td>
<td>123-130</td>
<td>120; 115</td>
<td>40; -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left spicule (handle)</td>
<td>190 (105)</td>
<td>411-599 (156-181)</td>
<td>112-144 (-)</td>
<td>235-284</td>
<td>135 (65); 130 (65)</td>
<td>237 (97); 231 (94); 236 (97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right spicule</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70-86</td>
<td>44-50</td>
<td>70-80</td>
<td>52; 45</td>
<td>49; 47; 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook of right spicule</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>double hook</td>
<td>present, keel-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spicular ratio (l/r)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.5-6.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6; 2.9</td>
<td>4.8; 4.9; 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail length</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>130-185</td>
<td>110-118</td>
<td>160 a</td>
<td>130; 115</td>
<td>87; 84; 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape of tip of tail</td>
<td>diluted</td>
<td>not dilated</td>
<td>not dilated</td>
<td>not dilated</td>
<td>not dilated</td>
<td>not dilated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal alae</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>present and particular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of area rugosa relative to cloaca</td>
<td>anterior and posterior</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>anterior</td>
<td>anterior and posterior</td>
<td>anterior</td>
<td>anterior</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a measured on drawing; b the first measurement given represents the holotype; c calculation based on range of spicules. All measurements in micrometres, unless otherwise specified.

Table II. – Morphological characteristics of the male of *Monanema joopi* n. sp. from *Acomys (Acomys) spinosissimus* Peters, 1852 in South Africa and its congeners.
Etymology: the new species is named after Prof. Joop Boomker in recognition of his vast contribution to our knowledge of the helminth fauna of South African wildlife.

TAXONOMIC DISCUSSION

The filariae described herein possess the long tail as well as the unequal and dissimilar spicules typical for Onchocercinae. Based on adult characters and skin-dwelling microfilariae in a loose-fitting sheath, they were assigned to Monanema (Chabaud & Bain, 1976; Anderson & Bain, 1976; Spratt, 2008). Presently, the genus comprises five species, described from the following type hosts. Monanema marmotae (Webster, 1967) Anteson, 1968 (= Ackertia marmotae Webster, 1967) was described from the sciurid Marmota (Marmota) monax canadensis (Erxleben, 1777) [= Marmota monax canadensis (Erxleben, 1777)] in Canada and the remaining four from murid hosts. Monanema globulosa (Muller & Nelson, 1975) was reported from Lennmiscomys striatus (Linnaeus, 1758) in Kenya, Monanema nilotica El Bihari, Hussein & Muller, 1977 from Aviccanthis niloticus (Geoffroy, 1803) [= A. niloticus testicularis (Sundevall, 1843)] in Sudan, Monanema martini Bain, Bartlett & Petit, 1986 from A. niloticus in Mali, and Monanema australis Spratt 2008, from Melomys cervinipes (Gould, 1852) in Australia (Tables II, III; Webster, 1967; Muller & Nelson, 1975; El Bihari et al., 1977; Bain et al., 1986; Spratt, 2008). All these are distinct from the current specimens in a number of characters, listed below and in Tables II & III. In addition, the hypertrophy of the lateral chords, joining ventrally in the anterior region, as seen in the current specimens (Fig. 1C, D), has not been described for any of the above species.

Monanema marmotae: head bulbous; external labial and cephalic papillae arranged in two squares; females more than twice as long (67-92 mm vs 24.5-30.8 mm); male tail conical in ventral view, without caudal alae; area rugosa extending to tail; left spicule approxima-
tely two to three times longer (411-599 vs 190), spicular ratio about twice as high (5.5-6.7 vs 2.7); microfilariae shorter (117-142 vs 185-215) (Webster, 1967).

Monanema australis: head bulbous; tip of female tail not bulbous; male tail twice shorter, conical in ventral view, with prominent paracoeloal alae ornamented with small rugosities at base and with three pairs of adcloacal, pedunculate, laterally directed papillae; left spicule longer (231-238 vs 190), right spicule shorter (47-52 vs 68), resulting in higher spicular ratio (4.6-4.9 vs 2.7); microfilariae shorter (125) (Spratt, 2008).

Monanema globulosa: head bulbous [we noted discrepancies between the scale bar, illustration and text concerning Fig. 1 in Muller & Nelson (1975)]; females shorter (7.6-16 mm vs 24.5-30.8 mm), with two pairs of small appendages on tail; male tail conical in ventral view, without caudal alae; caudal papillae more symmetrically arranged, with three regular pairs of precaeloal papillae; left and right spicules shorter (112-144 and 44-50 vs 190 and 68, respectively), right spicule without hook; microfilariae shorter (135-150 vs 185-215), 10-11 refractory granules beneath sheath (Muller & Nelson, 1975).

Monanema martini: head bulbous; females shorter (20.5-22.5 mm vs 24.5-30.8 mm), tip of tail with several conical projections; caudal papillae grossly symmetrical; area rugosa extending to mid-tail; both left and right spicule shorter (135 and 130, and 52 and 45 vs 190 and 68, respectively), right spicule with double hook; microfilariae larger (235-263 vs 185-215), 11-26 refractory granules beneath sheath (Bain et al., 1986).

Monanema nilotica: external labial and cephalic papillae arranged in two squares; female tail longer (208-272 vs 120-180); male tail conical in ventral view, without caudal alae; all caudal papillae paired and symmetrically arranged; two precaeloal pairs; spicular ratio higher (3.6 vs 2.7); microfilariae larger (203-235 vs 185-215), 13-34 refractory granules beneath sheath (El Bihari et al., 1977).

**Identification Key to the Species of Monanema Anteson, 1968**

1-(4) Cephalic extremity bulbous.


M. nilotica El Bihari, Hussein & Muller, 1977


M. joopi n. sp.

4-(1) Cephalic extremity bulbous.

5-(8) Female tail with appendages or projections. Spicular ratio 2.6-2.9. Filamentous part of lamina of left spicule not longer than membranous part. Refractory granules beneath sheath of microfilariae.

6-(7) Female tail with several conical projections. Male tail cylindrical, narrow caudal alae present. Right spicule with double hook. 11-26 refractory granules beneath sheath of microfilariae. Microfilariae 235-288 long.

M. martini Bain, Bartlett & Petit, 1986


M. globulosa Muller & Nelson, 1975

8-(5) Female tail without appendages or projections. Spicular ratio ≥ 4.6. Filamentous part of lamina of left spicule longer than membranous part. No refractory granules beneath sheath of microfilariae.

9-(10) Male tail conical in ventral view, caudal alae absent. Area rugosa anterior and posterior to cloaca. Spicular ratio 5.5-6.7. Membranous part of lamina of left spicule reduced. Right spicule with simple hook. Microfilariae 117-142 long.

M. marmotae Webster, 1967

10-(9) Male tail conical in ventral view, paracoeloal alae prominent with three pairs of laterally directed adcloacal pedunculate papillae. Area rugosa anterior to cloaca, but cuticular rugosities at base of paracoeloal alae. Spicular ratio 4.6-4.9. Right spicule with pair of keel-like structures. Microfilariae 125 long.

M. australis Spratt, 2008

**Discussion**

Monanema was created by Anteson (1968, unpublished thesis; in Chabaud & Bain, 1976) for the species then known as Ackertia marmotae Webster, 1967 because, contrary to Ackertia Vaz, 1934, its male has several pairs of postcloacal papillae. The genus Monanema was accepted by Anderson & Bain (1976), Chabaud & Bain (1976) and Bain et al. (1982). In the characters of Monanema listed by these authors, the undivided oesophagus and caudal papillae on the tail were consistent, but other characters (buccal capsule, spicular ratio, head shape) changed, to accommodate the increasing number of
species described. Taking into account the characteristics of the six presently described species, we propose the following amended generic definition for Mona\*nema: buccal capsule small (1.7-6 long, 4.4-10 wide); oesophagus not divided into muscular and glandular part; vulva on level of posterior half of oesophagus; vagina large with chamber and sphincter; absence of caudal appendages on tip of male tail; 7-10 pairs of caudal papillae (Fig. 3), disposed anterior to cloaca and, grossly equidistant, along length of tail; first pair of postcloacal papillae close to midventral line; spicular ratio 2.7-6.7; microfilariae folded in loose-fitting sheath with obtuse extremities; microfilariae skin-dwelling.

The life cycles of three of six species have been elucidated. The intermediate hosts are ixodid ticks for M. marmotae (Ko, 1972), M. globulosa (Bianco & Muller, 1983) and M. martini (Bain et al. 1985; Petit et al., 1988). It is thus expected that ixodids will also transmit M. joopi n. sp. and the remaining two species. Two ixodid ticks were collected from A. (A.) spinosissimus examined during the current study, Rhipicephalus simus Koch, 1844 and Rhipicephalus follis Dönitz, 1910. While they are likely vectors, their role in the life cycle of M. joopi n. sp. remains to be confirmed.

Sites of infection for the species of Monanema are diverse, including the lungs, heart cavities, lymphatics of liver and caecum-colon. For a given species, as for example M. martini, filariae can settle in different places, the lymphatics and, less commonly, in the pulmonary arteries (Wanji et al., 1990; Vuong et al., 1991). In fact, it seems that species of Monanema are primarily lymphatic as suggested by the works of Wanji et al. (1990) who also demonstrated that lymphatic infective larvae and adults could be passively drawn back to cardio-pulmonary sites by the lymph flow when altered (Bain & Babayan, 2003). The low intensity of infection seen in M. joopi n. sp., the high number of aborted eggs in females and the fact that on no occasion males and females were recovered together, suggests that not all adults settle in the heart cavities. However, no adults were recovered from any of the other sites examined (liver, lungs, caecum-colon). This also suggests that other, more permissive murids might contribute to the maintenance of M. joopi n. sp. in nature.

Monanema has few representatives but a wide geographic distribution (Tables II, III; Spratt, 2008). The host range of Monanema is restricted to rodents, with one species in a Nearctic sciurid and five species in murids, of which four in Africa and one in Australia. The trends of morphological evolution in the Dipetalonema line (Chabaud & Bain, 1976), indicate that a bulbous head, head papillae that are arranged in a dorsoventrally elongated rectangle [in M. martini as early as in the infective stage (Bain & Chabaud, 1986)], a high spicular ratio, pairs of caudal papillae that are asymmetrically arranged and a reduced number of caudal papillae are evolved characters. We thus propose the following hypothesis for the relationships among the species of Monanema. The single parasite in a sciurid, M. marmotae, represents a line with a combination of primitive and derived characters (Webster, 1967). Among the species parasitic in murids, the four species in Africa form another line, sharing the primitive character of a small spicular ratio (≤ 3.6), but diversified with respect to other characters: in West and West-Central Africa, M. martini (Bain et al., 1985;
unpublished data); in South Africa, *M. joopi* n. sp.; in East Africa, *M. nilotica* (El Bihari et al., 1977); and *M. globulosa* from mountains in Kenya (1,500 meters of altitude). In Australia, *M. australae* represents a third evolutionary lineage (Spratt, 2008).

The Afrotropical region has the highest number of species but is unlikely the place of origin of *Monanema*. Rodents most likely originated from Eurasia (Wilson & Reeder, 2005; Jansa et al., 2009). During the Miocene/Pliocene, murids dispersed into Africa via the Arabian Peninsula (Winkler, 1994) and into Australia, via South-East Asia and New Guinea (Godthelp, 2001). Marmots, on the other hand, first arose in North America and spread into Eurasia via the Bering land bridge (Steppan et al., 1999). The origin of *Monanema* is probably the Palaeartic-Oriental region. If a protocol for the detection of dermal microfilariae was included in helminth diversity studies, more species of *Monanema* would likely be discovered. Particularly, the important finding by Spratt (2008) of a species in an Australian murid, Melomys Thomas, 1922, reveals that representatives of *Monanema* can be expected in the Indomalayan and Australasian region. To date, only the 12s rDNA sequence of *M. martini* is known (Ferri et al., 2011). In future, additional molecular studies might help to elucidate the phylogenetic relationships within this genus and to establish its taxonomic position within the Filarioidea.

Filariae with dermal microfilariae are rarely detected. Even in animals as well-studied as dogs and rodents, several new species have been reported in the past ten years, for example *Onchocerca lupi* (Sréter & Szell, 2008) and *Cercopitubifilaria* spp. (Otranto et al., 2011). This is exemplified in *Monanema* in which only six species have been described in over 40 years, although their current geographical and host distribution suggests a much higher diversity.
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